

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR

FRIDAY, THE 14TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 23RD BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 185 of 2001(G)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN SA.135/2000 Dated 16/03/2001

REVIEW PETITIONERS: RESPONDENTS:

1. C.I.GEORGE, VIKAR,
ST.GEORGE ORTHODOX SLEBA CHURCH,
CHOWNNUR, TALAPPILLY TALUK.
2. PATHROSE KATHANAR,
S/O.CHAKKUNNY,
PUSTHENPETTA ANGADI,
KUNNAMKULAM VILLAGE,
TALAPPILLY TALUK.
3. MELITHIOSE,
BISHOP OF ARTHAT DIOCESE,
KUNNAMKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.VIJAYA BHANU

RESPONDENT:APPELLANT:

PAYI,
S/O.CHALAKKAL VAREED,
CHOWANNUR VILLAGE,
TALAPPILLY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.S.V.BALAKRISHNA IYER (SR.)
SRI.P.B.KRISHNAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 14/09/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

Kss

M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR,J.

R.P .NO. 185 OF 2001

Dated 14th September 2007

ORDER

This petition is filed to review the judgment in S.A.135 of 2000. Case of petitioners is that judgment is to be reviewed. The grounds taken are as if this is an appeal against the judgment in second appeal. I do not find any apparent error or sufficient reason to review the judgment. Review petition is not a substitute for filing an appeal. In the absence of apparent error or sufficient reason to review the judgment, petition is dismissed.

M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR,
JUDGE.

uj.

=====

M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR,J.

ORDER

R.P.NO.185 OF 2001
IN
S.A.NO.135 OF 2001

14th September 2007

=====